

# Memo

**Project:** National Paediatric Hospital

**Report Type:** Summary of Noise Vibration & Movement Monitoring Results

**Period of Monitoring:** 18<sup>th</sup> November 2019 – 12<sup>th</sup> January 2020

## Introduction

Contained within the project documents for the National Children’s Hospital development are requirements for Environmental Monitoring to be completed during construction works. This monitoring regime includes recording dust deposition, noise at the perimeter of the site, and ground vibration at the perimeter of the site. Permissible limits for each monitoring regime have been set out in the Project EIS which was submitted with the Planning Permission for the Hospital.

The number of Monitoring points will vary throughout the project depending on the construction works being undertaken. Additional monitoring points may be added if particular features of adjacent properties require it.

Works on site during this monitoring period include, but are not limited to:

- Steel and crane work and pouring concrete at O’Reilly Avenue/Energy Centre.
- Construction of upper levels at the hospital entrance.
- Pouring concrete and construction of upper levels near Cameron Square.
- Construction of upper level and excavation works near South Circular Road.
- Pouring concrete and steel works near Mount Brown.
- Compound installation and depositing material near Brookfield Clinic.

## Vibration Monitoring.

Vibration monitors have been located at the ‘closest part of sensitive property’ as per the Project Environmental Impact Statement where feasible or alternatively at the site hoarding. The monitors will be located as per the above adjacent to locations where significant works are ongoing on site.

The Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that was part of the project Planning Permission established vibration limit at structures depending on their condition and type. Please see tables below for the limits set.

**Table 11.7: Allowable vibration during construction phase for soundly constructed buildings**

Allowable vibration (in terms of peak particle velocity) at the closest part of sensitive property to the source of vibration, at a frequency of		
Less than 10Hz	10 to 50Hz	50 to 100Hz (and above)
15 mm/s	20 mm/s	50 mm/s

# Memo

**Table 11.8: Allowable vibration during construction phase for sensitive buildings**

Allowable vibration (in terms of peak particle velocity) at the closest part of sensitive property to the source of vibration, at a frequency of		
Less than 10Hz	10 to 50Hz	50 to 100Hz (and above)
3 mm/s	3 – 8 mm/s	8 – 10 mm/s

Site operations are monitored using a traffic light trigger system of Green, Amber and Red trigger levels with the Red trigger level set at a vibration limit of 3mm/s PPV which corresponds to the lowest permissible vibration limit for sensitive structures. Any vibration level recorded below Red levels is acceptable within the limits established in Planning.

## Number of Monitors on Site:

During the monitoring period summarised for this report (18th November 2019 – 12th January 2020) there were up to 16 active vibration monitors installed at the perimeter of the site.

## Location of Vibration and Noise Monitors:

The layout of the monitors is as seen below:



Location of Vibration Monitors

There are concentrations of monitors at the boundaries with Cameron Square and O'Reilly Avenue where works have been ongoing on site in proximity to neighbouring properties.

# Memo



Location of Noise Monitors near O'Reilly Avenue

## Observations:

### Executive Summary:

Vibration monitors have been placed at the 'closest part of the sensitive properties' as per the EIS where this is feasible. The majority of vibration readings during the monitoring period recorded readings below the limit specified within the Project EIS. Vibration monitors V1, V13, V18, A1 & A2 have been excluded from this report as they are not relevant to the conditions for the residents adjacent to the site. From the remaining 11 monitors:

- 0 monitors recorded readings above the limit specified within the Project EIS.
- 2 monitors were offline during the timeframe covered in this report.

### Detailed Summary:

#### **Sensor (V2 – 3835) (James' Walk)**

- Monitor was offline continuously between 25<sup>th</sup> November 2019 until 12<sup>th</sup> January 2020.

#### **Sensor (V3 – 8995) (South Circular Road)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

#### **Sensor (V5 – 5037) (Cameron Square)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

#### **Sensor (V6 - 5044) (Cameron Square)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

#### **Sensor (V7 - 5017) (Old Kilmainham Road)**

# Memo

All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## **Sensor (V8 - 5035) (Brookfield Clinic) (previously numbered 3485)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## **Sensor (V9 – 5050) (O’Reilly Avenue) (previously numbered 8995)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## **Sensor (V10 – 4183) (O’Reilly Avenue)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## **Sensor (V11 – 8988) (O’Reilly Avenue)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## **Sensor (V12 – 5043) (O’Reilly Avenue)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## **Sensor (V14 - 5056) (South Circular Road)**

- All vibration readings recorded vibrations below the limit specified within the Project EIS.
- Monitor was offline was reinstalled on 27<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and has was deinstalled again on the 20<sup>th</sup> December 2019.

# Memo

## Noise Monitoring.

During the report period noise monitors have been placed at the ‘closest part of sensitive property’ as per the Project EIS where this has been feasible, or alternatively to the outside face of the site hoarding. When works are ongoing the noise monitor sensors run continuously, and readings are recorded in decibels (dB) LA<sub>eq1hr</sub>. Decibels is the standard unit of measurement of sound energy and ‘LA<sub>eq1hr</sub>’ means that sensors record all levels of sound over a 1 hour period and then calculate an average equivalent decibel level as if the sound was continuous. Isolated instantaneous loud noises are thus averaged out.

The Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that was part of the project Planning Permission established a noise limit at residential dwellings of 70dB LA<sub>eq1hr</sub>. Site operations are monitored using a traffic light trigger system of Green, Amber and Red trigger levels with the Red trigger level set at the noise limit set out in the project EIS (70 dB LA<sub>eq1hr</sub>). Any noise level recorded below Red levels is acceptable within the limits established in Planning.

## Number of Noise Monitors on Site:

During the monitoring period (18<sup>th</sup> November 2019 – 12<sup>th</sup> January 2020) summarised for this report there were up to 16 active monitors at the site boundaries.

## Observations:

### Executive Summary:

Noise monitors 07, 08, 15 & 16 have been excluded from this report as they are not relevant to the conditions for the residents adjacent to the site. From the remaining 12 monitors:

- 8 number monitors recorded readings above the limit specified within the Project EIS.
- 2 monitor recorded readings above the DCC daily 10 hour limit.
- 3 monitors were offline during the timeframe covered in this report.

### Detailed Summary:

The monitoring results for noise for this period were within the limits set out in the Project EIS with the following exceptions:

#### **Monitor 01 (Cameron Square)**

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 10<sup>th</sup> December 2019.
- The noise report doesn’t state the cause of this reading.

#### **Monitor 02 (O’Reilly Avenue)**

- All noise readings recorded noise levels below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

#### **Monitor 03 (Mace)**

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 21<sup>st</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup> & 19<sup>th</sup> December 2019 and 6<sup>th</sup> & 9<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- The noise report states the cause of these readings was: “ambient traffic noise.”

# Memo

## Monitor 04 (Mount Brown Road)

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 18<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup> & 31<sup>st</sup> November 2019. Every day in December 2019. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> & 12<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- The noise report states the cause of these readings was: "ambient noise."
- Monitor was offline for less than a day on: 9<sup>th</sup> December 2019 and 6<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- Noise levels in excess of the 75dB DCC daily 10 hour limit were recorded on the following dates: 22<sup>nd</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup> & 11<sup>th</sup> December 2019. The values of the exceedances range from 75.1 to 78.7 dB LA<sub>eq10hr</sub>. The noise reports states that these breaches were caused due to a combination of ambient traffic noise, installation of ESB sub station out and shuttering work. Mitigation included: "There were acoustic blankets in place at Gate 5, attached to herras fencing".

## Monitor 05 (O'Reilly Avenue)

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 29<sup>th</sup> November 2019.
- No reason was given for the exceedances in the noise report.

## Monitor 06 (O'Reilly Avenue)

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> 5<sup>th</sup> & 6<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- No reason was given for the exceedances in the noise report.

## Monitor 09 (James' Walk)

- The monitor deinstalled on the 9<sup>th</sup> October 2019 and has been offline continuously until the end of the timeframe covered in this report.

## Monitor 10 (Brookfield Clinic)

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 12<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> December 2019.
- The noise report states the causes of these readings were down to "concrete trucks passing sensor during concrete pour". There is no mitigation mentioned.

## Monitor 11 (Cameron Square)

- All noise readings recorded noise levels below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

## Monitor 12 (Cameron Square)

- All noise readings recorded noise levels below the limit specified within the Project EIS.

# Memo

## Monitor 13 (Cameron Square)

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 16<sup>th</sup> November 2019.
- No reason was given for the exceedances in the noise report.

## Monitor 14 (South Circular Road)

- Noise levels above the limit specified within the Project EIS were recorded on the following dates: 28<sup>th</sup> & 19<sup>th</sup> November 2019, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> December 2019 and 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> & 12<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- The monitor deinstalled on the 17<sup>th</sup> October 2019 and has been offline continuously until the end for the 27<sup>th</sup> November 2019. The monitor was also offline on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and 1<sup>st</sup> December 2019. The monitor was also offline between 20<sup>th</sup> December 2019 until the end of the 5<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- The noise report states the cause of these readings was: "*ambient noise*."
- Noise levels in excess of the 75dB DCC daily 10 hour limit were recorded on the following dates: 29<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and 2<sup>nd</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> December 2019 and 11<sup>th</sup> January 2020. The values of the exceedances range from 75.1 to 76.3 dB LA<sub>eq10hr</sub>. The noise reports states that these breaches were caused due to ambient traffic noise. No mitigation was included in the report.